

Comments Regarding Proposed Patent Fee Adjustments  
from  
Kent D. Murphy  
September 10, 2018

The USPTO Fee Schedule is a fraudulent and unlawful document. These facts were made public in comments to the Federal Register – put “Comments USPTO Murphy November 23, 2015” into a search engine – that resulted in Department of Commerce (DOC) Office of Inspector General (OIG) complaint number 16-1071.

Despite their wrongdoing being put on the public record and made the subject of an Inspector General investigation, still, the USPTO and the PPAC continues to produce a fraudulent fee schedule that intentionally deceives Congress and inventors and the public about the true “filing fee,” i.e. the true cost of filing a patent application; and, too, they continue to discriminate against a group of inventors, and gouge them beyond what is even lawful.

For more than two centuries, the USPTO knew what a “filing fee” was and did not discriminate against inventors, and could properly prepare a fee schedule and perform calculations, but all that changed when the USPTO employed corrupt incompetent inventor-hating Anthony (Scardino) Scardino, Bernard Knight, and Dana Robert Colarulli, who make the USPTO, a supposed “intellectual” property agency, a laughingstock, and must be fired. Particularly egregious is this Bernard Knight, who claims to have an accounting degree and to have worked for a major international accounting firm.

It's a known fact that the USPTO and PPAC do not read these comments, and lack the mental capacity to understand them and the integrity to act on them, but, nevertheless, the following is hereby put on the public record:

PROPER ITEMIZATION OF VARIOUS TYPES OF “FILING FEE” SHOWN BELOW:

The only correct way to represent the “filing fee” on the USPTO Fee Schedule is as follows:

PATENT APPLICATION FILING FEES

Basic filing fee – utility	1011/2011/3011	1.16(a)	300	150	75
Patent search fees – utility	1111/2111/3111	1.16(k)	660	330	165
Patent examination fees – utility	1311/2311/3311	1.16(o)	760	380	190
Total fees due upon filing			1720	860	430

USPTO's UNLAWFUL AND DISCRIMINATORY RIP-OFF OF INVENTORS

The basic “filing fee” for a small entity is supposedly \$150, which is subject to a \$200 penalty for using paper, thus, the basic “filing fee” is \$150, or \$350 for a paper application, the \$150 being, by definition, electronic, i.e. not paper; but, there is a basic “filing fee” utility electronic filing for small entities of \$75. Which is it, \$150 or \$75. And, we can clearly see that, if it is \$75, and the USPTO charges \$350 for a paper application, the penalty for using paper is \$275, which is illegal.

Kent D. Murphy  
kentdmurphy@gmail.com