From: Mike Bravo [e-mail redacted]
Sent: Friday, September 24, 2010 4:31 PM
To: Bilski_Guidance
Cc: [e-mail redacted]
Subject: Exclude Software Patents

Software patents hurt individuals by taking away our ability to control the devices that now exert such strong influence on our personal freedoms, including how we interact with each other. Now that computers are near-ubiquitous, it's easier than ever for an individual to create or modify software to perform the specific tasks they want done -- and more important than ever that they be able to do so. But a single software patent can put up an insurmountable, and unjustifiable, legal hurdle for many would-be developers.

The Supreme Court of the United States has never ruled in favor of the patentability of software. Their decision in *Bilski v. Kappos* further demonstrates that they expect the boundaries of patent eligibility to be drawn more narrowly than they commonly were at the case's outset. The primary point of the decision is that the machine-or-transformation test should not be the sole test for drawing those boundaries. The USPTO can, and should, exclude software from patent eligibility on other legal grounds: because software consists only of mathematics, which is not patentable, and the combination of such software with a general-purpose computer is obvious.

Mike Bravo, Lead Programmer

COAST ASSET MANAGEMENT, LLC
2450 Colorado Ave | Suite 100E | Santa Monica, CA 90404
Tel. (310) 633-2462 | Fax. (310) 633-2354 | [e-mail redacted]