

From:

Sent: Tuesday, April 20, 2010 7:51 AM

To: extended_missing_parts

Subject: Comments on Proposed Change To Missing Parts Practice

Dear all,

Greetings to you!

The proposal to some extent sounds good!

I have some suggestions:

1. It would be better if the applicant can choose for a non-publication as there will be some inventions which need to be protected for some time without being published.
2. It would also be better if we can apply this extension to foreign filing also.
3. It is more beneficial if the USPTO has a system similar to that of PCT with respect to filing, fees and multiple dependency claims.

This would certainly reduce cost of filing and more efficient! The applicants will certainly be relaxing from the complex Inventions and their filing system!!

Cheers!

With best regards,

Venu Srikanth Ch
IPR Dept.
Laila Nutraceuticals
Unit-1, Phase-III
Jawahar Autonagar
Vijayawada-520 007
Andhra Pradesh
INDIA