

OPQA ALLOWANCE, IN-PROCESS, FINAL DISPOSITION, AND COMPLETE FAOM REVIEWS

Review Category and Item	Complete FAOM Review	IPR Form Non-Final Actions	IPR Form Final Rejections	Allowance Review Form
Omitted Rejections				
35 U.S.C. 102	✓	✓	✓	✓
35 U.S.C. 103	✓	✓	✓	✓
35 U.S.C. 112 1 <sup>st</sup> , Written Description	✓	✓	✓	✓
35 U.S.C. 112 1 <sup>st</sup> , Enablement	✓	✓	✓	✓
35 U.S.C. 112 2 <sup>nd</sup>	✓	✓	✓	✓
35 U.S.C. 101 (Utility)	✓	✓	✓	✓
35 U.S.C. 101 (Non-Statutory)	✓	✓	✓	✓
Double Patenting	✓	✓	✓	✓
Other	✓	✓	✓	✓
Reasonableness of Rejections				
35 U.S.C. 102	✓	✓	✓	
35 U.S.C. 103	✓	✓	✓	
35 U.S.C. 112 1 <sup>st</sup> , Written Description	✓	✓	✓	
35 U.S.C. 112 1 <sup>st</sup> , Enablement	✓	✓	✓	
35 U.S.C. 112 2 <sup>nd</sup>	✓	✓	✓	
35 U.S.C. 101 (Utility)	✓	✓	✓	
35 U.S.C. 101 (Non-Statutory)	✓	✓	✓	
Double Patenting	✓	✓	✓	
Other	✓	✓	✓	
Clarity of Rejections				
35 U.S.C. 102	✓	✓	✓	
35 U.S.C. 103	✓	✓	✓	
35 U.S.C. 112 1 <sup>st</sup> , Written Description	✓	✓	✓	
35 U.S.C. 112 1 <sup>st</sup> , Enablement	✓	✓	✓	
35 U.S.C. 112 2 <sup>nd</sup>	✓	✓	✓	
35 U.S.C. 101 (Utility)	✓	✓	✓	
35 U.S.C. 101 (Non-Statutory)	✓	✓	✓	
Double Patenting	✓	✓	✓	
Other	✓	✓	✓	
Other Rejection Matters				
35 U.S.C. 102: Claim limitations matched to the art	✓			
35 U.S.C. 102: Statement of inherency clearly explained	✓			
35 U.S.C. 102: Statement of inherency clearly explained	✓			
35 U.S.C. 103: Claim limitations matched to the art	✓			
35 U.S.C. 103: Differences clearly stated	✓			
35 U.S.C. 103: Modification or combination of references clearly explained	✓			

35 U.S.C. 103: Motivation/reasons for obviousness present				
35 U.S.C. 103: Inherent teachings clearly explained	✓			
Other Prosecution Matters	Complete FAOM Review	IPR Form Non-Final Actions	IPR Form Final Rejections	Allowance Review Form
Interviews: Record of interview is clear and complete	✓			
Interviews: Interview was examiner-initiated and substantive in nature	✓			
Examiner properly handled Sequence Compliance Issues	✓			
Examiner properly handled Restriction issues	✓			
Examiner properly treated Claims for Priority	✓			
Examiner properly treated matters of substance in papers filed by applicant prior to examination	✓			
Search report from another office present and properly evaluated	✓			
Early and correct indication of allowable subject matter	✓			
Action provides correct suggestions to overcome rejection(s)	✓			